9

14

BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

KERRY COOPER, M.D.

Holder of License No. 28488 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona.

Board Case No. MD-09-1083A

FINDINGS OF FACT. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

(Letter of Reprimand and Probation)

The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on April 14, 2010. Kerry Cooper, M.D., ("Respondent") appeared with legal counsel, Daniel P. Jantsch, before the Board for a Formal Interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). The Board voted to issue Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 28488 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case no. MD-09-1083A after receiving a complaint regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a 30 year old female patient ("LH"), alleging inappropriate conduct.
- 4. On June 3, 2009, LH met with Respondent to discuss her back pains following her orthopaedic surgery, abdominal problems and weight loss. They discussed LH's marriage for several minutes. Respondent then put his hand on LH's thigh and rubbed it in a comforting manner. He proceeded to examine her back and started to rub her shoulders. After she told him that shoulder rubs never helped, he stopped.

Respondent stood up and kissed LH and smoothed back her hair with his hand. He ended by giving her a hug.

5. At the Board staff's request, Respondent underwent a psychosexual evaluation, which revealed that Respondent was safe to practice medicine. The evaluator recommended that Respondent complete a boundaries course, have a chaperone present for visits with female patients and therapy to help develop insight into the dynamics associated with his misconduct. In an addendum to this report, the evaluator clarified that the chaperone recommendation was only applicable to a physical examination of a female patient in a private practice setting and did not apply to a hospital or academic setting.

At his formal interview, Respondent did not deny the allegations against him, but did state that he only intended to comfort LH, not to make a sexual advance. He conceded that the patient had perceived his conduct differently, and he expressed remorse for his actions. He requested that the Board not require that he have a chaperone present when seeing female patients because such a restriction would have a devastating effect on his ability to continue practicing medicine. He also stated that he normally did have another healthcare professional in the room when he examined a female patient, but did not do so in the case of LH because he was not conducting a physical exam.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Arizona Medical Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- The Board has received substantial evidence supporting the Findings of Fact described above and said findings constitute unprofessional conduct or other grounds for the Board to take disciplinary action.

- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. §32-1401(27)(z)(ii) ("(e)ngaging in sexual conduct with a current patient or with a former patient within six months after the last medical consultation unless the patient was the licensee's spouse at the time of the contact or, immediately preceding the physician-patient relationship, was in a dating or engagement relationship with the licensee. For the purposes of this subdivision, "sexual conduct" includes:
- (i) Engaging in or soliciting sexual relationships, whether consensual or nonconsensual.
- (ii) Making sexual advances, requesting sexual favors or engaging in any other verbal conduct or physical contact of a sexual nature.
- (iii) Intentionally viewing a completely or partially disrobed patient in the course of treatment if the viewing is not related to patient diagnosis or treatment under current practice standards.")

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- 2. Respondent is placed on probation for two years with the following terms and conditions:
 - (a) Respondent shall, within 30 days of the effective date of this order, enter a contract with a Board pre-approved monitoring company to provide all monitoring services. Respondent shall bear all costs of monitoring requirements and services.
 - (b) Dr. Cooper shall immediately obtain a treating psychotherapist approved by the monitoring company and shall remain in treatment with

the psychotherapist for the duration of the Probation unless modified by the Board. After twelve months, Dr. Cooper may petition the Board to terminate the psychotherapy requirement.

- (c) Within six months of the effective date of this Order obtain 15-20 hours of pre-approved Category | CME in a boundaries course. The CME hours shall be in addition to the hours required for the biennial renewal of licensure. The physician shall be responsible for all monitoring costs.
- The Board retains jurisdiction and may initiate new action based upon any violation of this Order.

RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

DATED ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

1	By Amale Diell
2	Lisa S. Wynn
3	© Executive Director
4	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this Oday of, 2010 with:
5	
6	Arizona-Medical Board 9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road
7	Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
8	Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Mailthis
9	10th day of file , 2010 to:
10	Daniel Jantsch Olsen, Jantsch & Bakker
11	7243 N. 16 th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85020 Arizona Medical Board Staff
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	